

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION 1	
09/774,990	01/30/2001	Anna Pia Slothower	PALM-3559.US.P	4362
7590 11/24/2004			EXAMINER	
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			NGUYEN, JENNIFER T	
Third Floor Two North Market Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Jose, CA 95113			2674	

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		liestion No	A = 12			
		application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/774,990	SLOTHOWER ET AL.			
Office Action Summ		xaminer	Art Unit			
The MAU INO DATE AND		ennifer T Nguyen	2674			
The MAILING DATE of this concerns the Period for Reply	ommunication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above, the mail - If NO period for reply is specified above, the mail - Failure to reply within the set or extended perio - Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a this communication. an thirty (30) days, a reply wit aximum statutory period will a d for reply will, by statute, cau e months after the mailing da	hin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dapply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frouse the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communicatio	n(s) filed on <i>REC file</i>	ed on 08/25/2004 .				
2a) This action is FINAL .	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)	is/are withdrawn d. ed to.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected t	o by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that a		-	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) i 11) The oath or declaration is obj			objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ce Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	ne of: priority documents h priority documents h copies of the priority ternational Bureau (F	ave been received. ave been received in Applica documents have been recei PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Summa				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Review (PTO-948) -1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date · Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/774,990

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is responsive to amendment filed 08/25/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over prior art Fig. 1 (cited by Applicant) in view of Robsky et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,838,309).

Regarding claims 1, 9, and 16, the prior art Fig. 1 teaches a integrated enclosure/touch screen assembly comprising: a display mechanism (140); a digitizer mechanism comprising a top film (120) and a resistive digitizing element (130); a supporting structure (105) for supporting said display mechanism (140); and a cover (110) for the touch screen assembly that is disposed over and encloses said touch screen assembly and said top film of the digitizer mechanism to allow mechanical transfer between the cover (110) and the digitizer mechanism, wherein the resistive digitizing element can be activated by mechanical pressure applied to the external surface of the cover (page 10, line 11 to page 11, line 10 in specification).

The prior art Fig. 1 differs from claims 1, 9, and 16 in that it does not specifically teach the cover is a single piece cover enclosure. However, referring to Figs. 1-10, Robsky teaches single piece cover enclosure (24) for a touch screen assembly that is disposed over and encloses a top film of the digitizer mechanism (28) to allow mechanical transfer between the single piece cover (24) and the digitizer mechanism (28) (col. 3, lines 17-40, col. 4, lines 13-67). Therefore, it

Application/Control Number: 09/774,990

Art Unit: 2674

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the single piece cover enclosure for a touch screen assembly that is disposed over and encloses a top film of the digitizer mechanism to allow mechanical transfer between the single piece cover and the digitizer mechanism as taught by Robsky in the system of the prior art Fig. 1 in order to provide a moisture and dust free environment for the touch screen mechanism.

Regarding claims 2, 3, and 17, the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Robsky teaches a single piece cover enclosure is constructed using in mold decoration (col. 3, of Robsky, lines 17-40).

Regarding claims 4 and 11, the prior art Fig. 1 further teaches finger pressure on the external surface of said single piece cover enclosure can be used to activate said digitizer mechanism (page 10, line 11 to page 11, line 10 in specification).

Regarding claims 5 and 12, the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Robsky teaches wherein stylus pressure on the external surface of said single piece cover enclosure may be used to activate said digitizer mechanism (col. 1, lines 10-63 of Robsky).

Regarding claim 6, the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Robsky teaches wherein said single piece cover comprises a mylar polycarbonate material (col. 3, lines 17-40).

Regarding claims 7, 14 and 20, the prior art Fig. 1 further teaches the soft thermoplastic film has sufficient deflection under external pressure to active said digitizer mechanism.

Regarding claims 8 and 15, the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Robsky teaches the single piece cover enclosure (24) for the display mechanism and said digitizer mechanism is constructed with a flat outer top surface free of any indentation (Figs. 1-10 of Robsky, col. 3, lines 17-40, col. 4, lines 13-67).

Regarding claims 10 and 19, the combination of the prior art Fig. 1 and Robsky teaches said single piece cover enclosure is a soft thermoplastic outer film that is coupled to said top film

Application/Control Number: 09/774,990

Art Unit: 2674

of said digitizer mechanism that is coupled to the supporting structure (col. 3, lines 17-40 of Robsky).

Regarding claims 13 and 18, the prior art Fig. 1 further teaches the digitizing element of said digitizer mechanism is a resistive type digitizing element.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicants' arguments filed 08/25/2004, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because as follows:

In response to Applicants' argument filed "the cited combination fails to teach or suggest the embodiments set forth in claims 1, 9, and 16. In particular, Applicant's prior art Figure 1 does not anticipate or render obvious an integrated enclosure touch screen assembly that is disposed over and encloses said touch screen assembly and said top film of said digitizer mechanism to allow mechanical transfer between said single piece cover and said digitizer mechanism". The prior art figure 1 discloses a touch screen assembly includes digitizing element (130), display element (140), and outermost protective film (11). The only difference between the prior art figure 1 and the invention is the outermost protective film of prior art figure 1 is in recessed fashion, it is on a level below the outer edges of supporting enclosure (105) which act as a bezel. The cover in invention is a single piece cover. However, Robsky teaches a single piece cover enclosure for touch screen assembly (Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 17-40, col. 4, lines 13-67) and it is well know in the art that by touching or pressing the single piece cover allows mechanical transfer between said single piece cover said digitizer mechanism (col. 1, lines 10-15).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

Art Unit: 2674

was made to incorporate the cover enclosure as taught by Robsky in the system of the prior art Fig. 1 in order to provide a moisture and dust free environment for the touch screen mechanism.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jennifer T. Nguyen** whose telephone number is **703-305-3225**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richard A Hjerpe** can be reach at **703-305-4709**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC. 20231

Or faxed to: 703-872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, sixth-floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

JNguyen 11/16/2004

> REGINA LIANG PRIMARY EXAMINER